

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/688,978	10/21/2003	Hitoshi Oaku	501.41519CX1 4182	
	90 12/08/2004	EXAMINER		
	, TERRY, STOUT & KE EVENTEENTH STREET	ZIMMER, MARC S		
SUITE 1800 ARLINGTON, VA 22209-9889			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1712	

DATE MAILED: 12/08/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/688,978	OAKU ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Marc S. Zimmer	1712			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apported in Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE - External after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL'MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day, will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ARANDONE.	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D. (35 U.S.C. & 133)			
Status			\			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 O	ctober 2003.				
		action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is			
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)[🛛	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers	·				
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	г.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. \boxtimes Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 10/101,167.						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment	· ·	. . .				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) 🔲 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal Pa	tent Application (PTO-152)			
Paper	No(s)/Mail Date	6)				

Application/Control Number: 10/688,978

Art Unit: 1712

7

Double Patenting

A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1 and 2 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6660387. This is a double patenting rejection.

Brown et al., U.S. Patent # 6,172,457 is, in the Examiner's view, the most relevant prior art document. They surmise in column 4, lines 39-43 that Applicant's approach to strengthening the glass used in the manufacture of flat panel displays by introducing a compressive strain therein is well known and, hence, an obvious solution. However, whereas Applicant employs an epoxy resin or an inorganic-organic hybrid polymer as an intermediate layer, Brown exploits a composition comprised of various ceramic materials (see table in column 5). Just as Brown maintains that it is the utilization of this ceramic composition that represents the unobvious aspect of their invention, it can be stated that Applicant's use of rigid epoxy resins and organic-inorganic hybrid polymers derived therefrom, is also unobvious. (Brown does not

identify what other materials have been used in this capacity nor could the Examiner find any references teaching the placement of a rigid polymer between two glass sheets so as to convey a compressive strain.)

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marc S. Zimmer whose telephone number is 571-272-1096. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Randy Gulakowski can be reached on 571-272-1302. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

December 1, 2004

MARGARET G. MOORE

MARGARET G. MOORE
PRIMARY EXAMINER